

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/027,828	10/027,828 10/25/2001		Wolfgang Liedtke	600-1-287N	2548	
23565	7590	06/29/2004	EXAMINER			
KLAUBEI	R & JACI	KSON	GUCKER, STEPHEN			
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK, NJ 07601				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1647		
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	_				
		10/027,82		LIEDTKE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	O	Art Unit					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		N. Jean						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Stephen C		1647	_				
Period fo				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. a reply within the statueriod will apply and will statute. cause the apple.	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 March 2004.							
,	•		action is non-final.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5 and 7-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6,15-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b)[the drawing(s) borrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Star No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/027,828 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-2, 4, 6, and 15-16, filed 3/26/04, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no search burden exists between the various inventive groups. This is not found persuasive because the search and examination of the other groups are not co-extensive and overlapping, regardless of the PTO's classifications of the inventions due to the vast amount of database, foreign patent, and non-patent literature that must be searched in addition to US patents. Furthermore, the enablement of each invention is considered separately and is partly based on an extensive search of the state of the patent and particularly the non-patent prior art for each separate invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 3, 5, and 7-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 3/26/04.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the

Application/Control Number: 10/027,828

Art Unit: 1647

invention. Although Applicant asserts that disorders may be treated by modulating VR-OAC, an adequate written description, guidance, and/or working examples are not taught by the specification to enable the treatment of any disorder. The specification fails to provide a nexus between any of the long list of disorders listed and whether such disorders have as a basis for their pathogenesis the VR-OAC. Additionally, the specification fails to teach in any disorder whether or not the VR-OAC should be modulated up or down in response to that specific disorder. If the VR-OAC is involved in any disorder, knowing whether one would want to modulate it up or down is critical information needed to treat that disorder in order to prevent the treatment from making the disorder worse. The specification does not provide any description, guidance, or working examples as to what an agonizing modulator to the VR-OAC would be in terms of chemical formula or structure. In the absence of any of this information, it is entirely unpredictable how the skilled artisan would carry out the methods as claimed to treat any disorder with a reasonable expectation of success without resorting to undue experimentation.

5. Claims 1-2 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for antagonizing the VR-OAC by using an antibody, does not reasonably provide enablement for any other modulation. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Because of the lack of an adequate written description, guidance, and working examples as noted above, the only modulator enabled for the

Application/Control Number: 10/027,828 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

VR-OAC would be an antagonizing antibody because an antibody could be reasonably made by immunizing an animal with the VR-OAC, separating and purifying said antibody, and then testing it for its ability to block the VR-OAC's channel function by steric hindrance.

- **6.** No claim is allowed.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technical Center 1600 general number which is (571) 272-1600.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gucker whose telephone number is (571) 272-0883. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 0930 to 1800. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for this Group is currently (703) 872-9306.

Stephen Gucker

June 28, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600